

Redox Signaling in Skeletal Muscle: Why a Little Oxidative Stress Builds a Stronger Cell

Mueen Ahmed KK*

Manuscript Technomedia LLP, No. 22 (New No. 40), 3rd Cross, Vivekananda Nagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka, INDIA.

When a muscle contracts repeatedly, it generates Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). For much of the twentieth century this was read as straightforward wear and tear-oxidative damage that accumulated with exertion and required defending against. The modern understanding is almost the inverse: those contraction-induced ROS are not merely tolerated byproducts but indispensable messengers that instruct the muscle cell to remodel itself into a fitter, more resilient version. Understanding this molecular logic explains both why exercise works and why blunting it with antioxidants can backfire.

The organizing principle is hormesis, the biphasic dose-response in which a modest dose of a stressor provokes an adaptive overcompensation. According to PubMed, hormesis describes how an exposure to a toxin can elicit adaptive changes that allow the organism to resist higher doses with reduced harm, and skeletal muscle exhibits considerable plasticity in response to acute exercise or chronic training-particularly in antioxidant defense capacity and metabolic function-largely through the remodeling of mitochondria. The hypothesis that contraction-generated ROS stimulate these hormesis-like adaptations now rests on substantial evidence, with select species such as hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide, and even oxidatively modified macromolecules, serving as signaling molecules that activate redox-sensitive pathways.

What those pathways are has become increasingly clear. According to PubMed, research has highlighted the central roles of Nuclear Factor κ B (NF- κ B), Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases (MAPK), and peroxisome Proliferator-activated receptor γ Co-Activator 1 α (PGC-1 α) in vital muscle functions including mitochondrial biogenesis, antioxidant defense, inflammation, protein turnover, apoptosis, and autophagy. PGC-1 α is the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, and its activation in response to redox signals is precisely how a trained muscle ends up with more and better mitochondria. The same source notes that the cell's inability to maintain proper redox signaling underlies mechanisms of biological aging, when inflammatory

and catabolic pathways come to dominate-linking the muscle's redox responsiveness to healthy aging more broadly.

A particularly elegant insight is that exercise itself behaves as an antioxidant intervention. According to PubMed, physical exercise increases cellular ROS production in muscle and other organs, but this arises largely from extramitochondrial sources such as NADPH oxidase and xanthine oxidase rather than from the mitochondria themselves. The same group argued that because training increases the expression of endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase, exercise can be considered an antioxidant in its own right-and that lowering these endogenous defenses through supplemental antioxidants may be a poor strategy during training. This reframing matters: the goal is not to minimize ROS but to provoke the transient signal that drives the cell to build its own durable defenses.

The same redox biology that builds the cell also governs how it fatigues, and here the dose-dependence is sharply illustrated. According to PubMed, ROS and reactive nitrogen species production intensifies with exercise intensity and duration, and at high concentrations these species impair Na/K-ATPase activity, intramyofibrillar calcium handling, and actin-myosin kinetics to reduce force production-yet at low concentrations they upregulate adaptive responses related to mitochondrial biogenesis, glucose transport, and muscle hypertrophy. The same review warns that exogenous antioxidant supplementation may hamper the exercise-induced upregulation of MAPK, PGC-1 α , and mTOR signaling, concluding that both high exercise-induced and low antioxidant-modulated ROS concentrations can be beneficial only so long as they do not push the system outside its threshold range for redox balance.

This threshold concept is the crux of the entire field. ROS are neither hero nor villain; they are a signal whose meaning depends entirely on magnitude, location, and timing. A transient, localized burst of hydrogen peroxide from a contracting fiber is interpreted by the cell as an instruction to adapt. A chronic, diffuse elevation-or conversely, an artificial suppression by megadose antioxidants-disrupts the signal and degrades the adaptive response. The cell is exquisitely tuned to read the difference, which is why blunt pharmacological interventions at either extreme tend to be counterproductive.



DOI: 10.5530/fra.20260005

Copyright Information :

Copyright Author (s) 2026 Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Publishing Partner : Manuscript Technomedia. [www.mstechnomedia.com]

The implications extend well beyond athletic performance into the biology of aging and metabolic health. If intact redox signaling is what allows muscle to maintain mitochondrial quality, antioxidant capacity, and protein balance, then preserving that signaling—rather than chemically dampening it—becomes a reasonable goal for healthy aging. The practical takeaway is that the oxidative stress of exercise is not a price to be paid for fitness but the mechanism of fitness itself. Rather than reflexively suppressing it, the better strategy is to let the muscle's

own redox-sensitive machinery do what it evolved to do: turn a manageable stress into a stronger cell.

REFERENCES

- Gomez-Cabrera, M. C., Salvador-Pascual, A., Cabo, H., Ferrando, B., & Viña, J. (2015). Redox modulation of mitochondrial biogenesis in exercise. Does antioxidant supplementation blunt the benefits of exercise training? *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 86, 37-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.04.006>
- Ji, L. L., Kang, C., & Zhang, Y. (2016). Exercise-induced hormesis and skeletal muscle health. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 98, 113-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.02.025>
- Supruniuk, E., Górski, J., & Chabowski, A. (2023). Endogenous and exogenous antioxidants in skeletal muscle fatigue development during exercise. *Antioxidants*, 12(2), 501. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12020501>

Correspondence:

Dr. Mueen Ahmed KK

Manuscript Technomedia LLP, No. 22
(New No. 40), 3rd Cross, Vivekananda
Nagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka, INDIA.
Email: mueen.ahmed@gmail.com

Cite this article: Ahmed KKM. Redox Signaling in Skeletal Muscle: Why a Little Oxidative Stress Builds a Stronger Cell. *Free Radicals and Antioxidants*. 2026;16(1):9-10.